

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
SHERMAN DIVISION

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
LABOR, et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

Civil Action No. 4:16-cv-731-ALM
LEAD

PLANO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, et al.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

THOMAS E. PEREZ, et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

Civil Action No. 4:16-cv-732-ALM
CONS

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Business Plaintiffs unopposed Motion to Extend the Page Limit for Plaintiff's Reply Brief in Support of the Business Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. #57). The Court having considered the matter and being otherwise sufficiently advised, **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' Motion is hereby **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs may file a Reply Brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment, not to exceed 15 pages.

SIGNED this 22nd day of November, 2016.


AMOS L. MAZZANT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE